



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

MAIL

Paper No. 13

SEP 10 2003

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH  
PO BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of:  
PARK, SANG ON : DECISION  
Application No. 09/741,170 : ON PETITION  
Filed: December 21, 2000 :  
For: **DEVICE AND METHOD FOR  
CONTROLLING OPTICAL  
RECORDING/REPRODUCING DEVICE** :

This is a decision on the petition filed August 4, 2003, which is being treated under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181(a) to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

A Notice of Abandonment was mailed June 26, 2003 for failure to timely respond to the final Office action mailed October 16, 2002.

Petitioner alleges to have timely submitted a response to the Office action. In support, the Petitioner has included a copy of postcard receipt stamped April 16, 2003 itemizing a Notice of Appeal and a check for \$1250.00 to pay a three-month extension (\$930.00) fee and Notice of Appeal fee (\$320.00). These fees were credited to the account for the instant case on April 16, 2003. The petition includes a copy of the Notice of Appeal and the postcard receipt.

The original response was not matched with the file at the time the Notice of Abandonment was mailed and cannot be located. However, M.P.E.P. § 503 states, “[a] post card receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the papers which are being filed serves as a *prima facie* evidence of receipt in the PTO of all the items listed thereon on the date stamped thereon by the PTO.” Accordingly, it is concluded that the response was timely filed in the Office but not matched with the application file.

Accordingly, the holding of abandonment is hereby vacated and withdrawn.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application file is being forwarded to the technical support staff for entry of the Notice of Appeal included with the petition. From there, it will be forwarded to the Examiner, since a Request for Continued Examination has been filed.

*Reinhard J. Eisenzopf*  
Reinhard J. Eisenzopf, Director (Acting)  
Technology Center 2600  
Communications